

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/082,059 | 02/26/2002 | Seiichi Miyanaga | 219886US0DIV | 3155 | |
| . 22850 7 | 7590 08/06/2003 | | | . G | |
| OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 1940 DUKE S' ALEXANDRI | | | TRUONG, DUC | | |
| | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | , | 1711 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| , J | ~· • | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/082,059 | MIYANAGA ET AL. | | | |
| | Offic Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Duc Truong | 1711 | | | |
| Period fo | Th MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply | n appears on the cover shet wi | th the correspondence address | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r | DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI- usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by pely received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u>18 June 2003</u> . | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) | This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| • . | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) 🖾 | Claim(s) 6-25 is/are pending in the applic | ation. | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ | 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,11 and 19-25</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-9 and 12-18</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) 🗌 | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| - | Claim(s) are subject to restriction a on Papers | nd/or election requirement. | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 - | The specification is objected to by the Exa | miner. | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required | in reply to this Office action. | | | | |
| 12) 🔲 - | The oath or declaration is objected to by th | e Examiner. | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo | reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a)[| ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority docur | ments have been received. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for a | al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | _ | | | |
| 14) 🗌 A | cknowledgment is made of a claim for dor | nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | |
| | ☐ The translation of the foreign languag | | | | | |
| Attachment | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No | 3) S) Notice of I | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re | | ce Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 6 | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/082,059

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 6/18/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Amendment submitted by Applicant does not overcome the rejection made by Examiner in the last Office action.

Claims 6-9 and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu.

The rejection is maintained for the reasons as stated in the last Office action and for the following reasons:

Applicant's arguments are based on the use of different initiators, such as the use of a cationic initiator such as oxonium salt and a catalyst instead of any rare earth element to have the molecular weight of 10,000 or less. Said arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive since they are not commensurate in scope with the claims. Applicant further discloses a literature, Polymer Chemical Vol. 17, 179, page 175-177 to support for said arguments. They are not persuasive since there is no English language translation.

The following position is taken because the claims in this application are read as broadly as possible. Limitations from the specification or remarks as to how the polymers are produced are not read into the claims. The claims as presented are included in the broad teachings of the reference since they read on a polyether produced from any methods having the claimed number average molecular weight.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,059

Art Unit: 1711

Applicant has presented no factual evidence to show why the polyether of the reference would not in fact have the claimed number average molecular weight. The fact that the reference does not include said property is not viewed as a basis to infer that said property is not possessed by the polyether of the reference. The composition disclosed by the reference is prepared from reactants and under process conditions that are inclusive of the claimed reactants and conditions. In view of this similarity, it would appear to be inherent that a polyether having the claimed number average molecular weight could be prepared following the teachings of the reference. See In re Best, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

Claim is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim recites the limitation "a=0" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/082,059 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Claims 6-9 and 12-18 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc Truong whose telephone number is 703-308-2437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

DT August 4, 2003

DUCTRUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER